CFL3D and FUN3D Analysis of HiLiftPW-1 Workshop Cases

Elizabeth M. Lee-Rausch & Christopher L. Rumsey
NASA Langley Research Center

1st AIAA CFD High Lift Prediction Workshop (HiLiftPW-1)
Sponsored by the Applied Aerodynamics TC
Chicago, IL
June 27-27, 2010

CFD Code Descriptions

- CFL3D Structured-Grid Code
  - Parallel 3D compressible cell-centered finite-volume RANS
  - Thin-layer Navier-Stokes equations in all coordinate directions
  - Implicit local time-stepping using AF relaxation for linear system
  - Upwind Roe flux-difference splitting for inviscid fluxes
  - Spalart-Allmaras and SST turbulence models, fully-turbulent
- FUN3D Unstructured-Grid Code
  - Parallel 3D compressible finite-volume RANS for mixed-element meshes
  - Full Navier-Stokes equations-node centered
  - Implicit local time-stepping using multi-color point Gauss-Seidel relaxation for linear system
  - UMUSCL 0.5 scheme (Averaging + Upwind) for inviscid fluxes with Venkatakrishnan limiter
  - Combined Green-Gauss and edge-based gradients for viscous fluxes
  - Spalart-Allmaras turbulence model, fully-turbulent

Cases and Grids

- Required Case 1 and Case 2
- Optional Case 3 not done

	Struct. 1 to 1 – A (Boeing)	Strut. 1 to 1 – B (Pointwise)	Unst. Tet NC - A (UWYO)	Unst. Mixed NC - B (DLR-Solar)
FUN3D			SA (Mixed)	SA (not finished)
CFL3D	SA SST	SST		

Comparison of Grid Sizes

	Extra-Coarse	Coarse	Medium	Fine	Extra-Fine
Struct. 1-to-1 A Boeing	7.1M	22.6M	52.1M	171M	
Stuct. 1-to-1 B Pointwise	3.8M	11.2M	28.7M	85.5M	
Mixed ver. Unst. Tet. NC A VGRID		3.7M	11.0M	32.4M	
Mixed NC B Solar		12.3M	37.0M	111M	

Configuration 1 – Lift Polar





Configuration 1 – Drag Polar





Config. 1 – Pitching Moment Polar





Configuration 8 – Lift Polar





Configuration 8 – Drag Polar





Config. 8 – Pitching Moment Polar





Configuration 1 – SOLAR Grids

Lift



Drag



Pitching Moment



Grid Convergence – Config. 1

Angle of Attack 13 deg

Lift



Drag



Pitching Moment



Grid Convergence – Config. 1

Angle of Attack 28 deg

Lift



Drag



Pitching Moment



FUN3D Surface Restricted Streamlines



Config. 1

Config. 8

Medium Grid

FUN3D Surface Restricted Streamlines



Config. 1

Config. 8

Medium Grid

FUN3D Surface Restricted Streamlines



Config. 1

Config. 8

Medium Grid

FUN3D Surface Restricted Streamlines



Config. 1

Config. 8

Medium Grid

FUN3D Surface Restricted Streamlines



Config. 1

Config. 8

Medium Grid

FUN3D Surface Restricted Streamlines



Config. 1

Config. 8

Medium Grid

FUN3D Surface Restricted Streamlines



Config. 1

Config. 8

Medium Grid

FUN3D vs CFL3D Surface Flows –Config. 1

AOA 13 deg







FUN3D SA | CFL3D SA | CFL3D SST

Medium Grid

FUN3D vs CFL3D Surface Flows –Config. 1

AOA 28 deg







FUN3D SA | CFL3D SA | CFL3D SST

Medium Grid

FUN3D Config. 1



Tet NC A
(Mixed)

Mixed NC B

Medium Grid

Summary

- Grid convergence study
  - SST lower lift than SA (likely flap separation)
  - Struct. Grid and unst. Grid results with same turbulence model compare well
  - At higher alpha more difference between codes and more variation with grid refinement
- Flap study(medium grid)
  - Grid density/topology matters at highest AOA
    - One-to-one structured grid B seems to predict early stall
    - SOLAR grid results improved correlation at high AOA
  - Config. 1 has more flap separation than Config. 8
  - SST predicts more flap separation than SA
  - FUN3D predicts wing separation at highest AOA for Config. 8 (not seen in CFL3D solutions)

Acknowledgements

- Dr. Eric Nielsen, NASA LaRC
- Dana Hammond, NASA LaRC